Allelopathic effect of *Ailanthus excelsa* Roxb. on seed germination and seedling growth of *Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br. variety hybrid 1836

1*Vijay Pal and ²Aarti

¹Amity Institute of Biotechnology, Amity University, Jaipur-300202 (India) ²Department of Botany, Dr. Bhim Rao Ambedkar University Agra-282002 (India) *corresponding author <u>Gujjarvijay66@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

The aquatic extracts obtained from the dark dried leaves of *Ailanthus excelsa* Roxb. (Simaroubaceae) were evaluated *in vitro* for potential allelopathic effects. The 25%, 50%, 75%, 100% and control concentration was examined for seed germination and seedling growth of *Pennisetum glaucum*. The *A. excelsa* aqueous leaf extract shows significant inhibition with increase in concentration. The maximum growth was observed in control pot which shows the average growth of 2.1cm per day and minimum growth was observed in 100% concentration with 0.5cm per day.

Key words : *Ailanthus excelsa, Pennisetum glaucum,* secondary metabolites, leaf extract, allelochemicals and allelopathy.

*A*ilanthus excelsa Roxb. commonly known as tree of Heaven, Maharukh, and Mahaneem is one of fast-growing multipurpose tree, which is used for tradition medicine in *Ayurveda* and *Charaksamhita* from ancient time, fodder, fuel, timber and fiber¹⁰. It has been widely distributed across India, china, Japan and Australia^{1,2}. At present it is being extensively utilized for its potential in treatment of disease like diarrhea, dysentery and as an antifertility^{9,13}. Reports from different area suggest its prospect in plywood, fodder, and biodiesel industries. *A. excelsa* has also been admitted as shelterbelts along borders of fields for shade and as avenue tree in India. High pharmacological adaption and ability to tolerate various abiotic stresses like salt and drought tolerance⁴ enabled its plantation beyond the introduced area¹¹.

Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R.Br. belongs to family Poaceae commonly called as bajra and kambu is grown in the semi- arid regions of India as a kharif crop and is the fourth most popular food crop after rice, wheat and maize in India. Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh and Haryana account for nearly 2/3 of millet output of country. *P. glaucum* grow up to 3m in height, with fibrous root, sometime stilt roots are also grow at lower nodes. Stem is solid, slender with slightly swollen node, leaves are alternate simple, blade, linear and minutely serrated, up to 150 cm m long \times 8 cm wide. The Inflorescence is panicle 12 to 30 cm long. *P. glaucum* is rich source of micronutrients vitamins, calcium, magnesium, iron, phosphorous, manganese and potassium, minerals, carbohydrate, protein, fat^{7,14,17}.

Allelopathy is a biological phenomenon in which one plant inhibits the growth of other plant by releasing certain allelochemicals (product of secondary metabolites) in their surrounding soil. Allelochemicals may be defined as the beneficial or harmful effects of one plant on another plant through the release of biochemical^{3,15} proposed that plants may exudate something from their roots which may be injurious to other plants. Those allelochemical which have negative effects play an important role in the defense of plant against herbivory. These allelochemicals are released into the environment by exudation from roots, leaching from stem and leaves or by decomposition of plant material²². Swaminathan et al.¹⁹ observed that A. excelsa bark extract inhibit the seed germination of maize (Zea mays), red gram (Cajanus cajan) and sesame (Sesamum indicum). Ailanthus excelsa bark was most inhibitory to seed germination, radicle growth and plumule elongation. The leachates inhibited the sesame the most and the pigeon pea. The compound melanthine was reported from the extract may have inhibitory function⁶.

In the present study the leaf extract of *A*. *excelsa* was evaluated for allelopathy

effect on *P. glaucum* hybrid 1836 variety having maturity time 75-80 days, resistant to mosaic disease and lodging.

Preparation of plant material :

A. excelsa leaves were handpicked from Amity university Jaipur, Rajasthan campus and were placed in dark room for drying. Dried leaves were crushed into powder form by using mortar and pestle. A 20g Leaf powder was warmed at 60°C in 2 litre of water for 60 minutes in a close container and left over for 24 hrs. This solution was filtered by using muslin cloth and used as 100% stock solution, which later converted into 25%, 50%, 75% concentration by dilution, stored in dark at normal room temperature till further use, the distilled water used as control and pure extract was used as maximum concentration (100%).

Pennisetum glaucum hybrid 1836 variety was purchased from Govt. authorized seed store. The seed viability was checked by putting in water and water settled seeds were selected for further use. Soil was collected from the field, sieved and sterilized in oven at 90°C temperature for 30 minute before filling in the pot. Sand pot was purchased from local market and sterilized in similar way as soil. The pot was filled with soil up to 1/3rd and five viable seeds per pot were sown and observed for consecutive eight days.

Seeds of *P. glaucum* were observed for eight day after every 24 hr. There was no germination at first, second and third day. The germination was observed on fourth day. The control pot showed maximum 100% germination by 5 out of 5 seed germination. 80% of germination was observed in 25% and 50% concentration solution by 4 out of 5 seed (433)



Fig. 1(a,b,c,d, and e) showing the seedling growth in different concentration.



Fig. 2. Showing the seed germination of *P. glaucum* in different concentration of aqueous extract of *A. excelsa*

(434)



Average fresh weight of root.

Average fresh weight of shoot (including coleoptile).

Fig. 3. Showing the fresh weight of root and shoot.

Sr.No.	Concentration	Germination %
1.	Control	100%
2.	25%	80%
3.	50%	80%
4.	75%	60%
5.	100%	40%

Table-2 showing the seedling growth (centimeter) per day, average increase in length of

	1.1	••
se	ed.	lıng

Sr.	Observed	Growth (cm)	Growth in	Growth(cm)	Growth (cm)	Growth (cm)
No.	days	in plant in	plant in	in plant in	in plant in	in plant in
		Control	Concentration	Concentration Concentration		Concentration
			25%	50%	75%	100%
1	Day 1	0.54	0.88	0.75	0.27	0.15
2	Day 2	1.6	1.5	1.1	0.4	0.15
3	Day 3	1.7	1.63	1.7	0.4	0.1
4	Day 4	2.1	1.3	1.63	0.57	0.05

Table-3	Seedling	shoot	and	root	length	and	weight	on	8 th	dav
14010-5.	becumg	Shoot	anu	1001	iengin	anu	weight	on	0	uay

Sr	Concentration	Shoot length	Root length	Shoot weight	Root weight
No.	% of solution	in cm	in cm	in mg	in mg
1	Control	1.44	1.75	5	3
2	25%	1.33	1.7	4	2
3	50%	1.30	0.45	3.5	1
4	75%	0.41	0.44	3.4	1
5	100%	0.12	0.25	2.5	0.5

germination. The 60% of seed germination was observed in 75% concentration solution by 3 out of 5 seed germinate. Similarly in 100% concentration solution only 2 seed germinate out of 5. The seed germination percentage was decreased with increase in the concentration of extract as shown in table-1.

After seed germination on fourth day, the growth of seedling was observed for last four days out of total eight day at every 24hr. The result was shown in table-2. On the eighth day seedling was dug out from the pot and root, shoot length and weight was measured as given in table-3.

The control pot shows the maximum average growth of 2.1cm in 5 seedling on eighth day. Minimum growth was observed in 100% concentration solution with average growth of 0.05cm in two seedlings on eighth day. The root growth of 1.75cm was measured in control and in leaf extract of 100% was 0.25cm similarly the shoot growth was measured in control 1.44cm and 0.12 in control and 100% respectively. The fresh weight of root was in control 3mg and in 100% concentration extract 0.5mg. The fresh weight of shoot was in control and 100% 5mg and 2.5 mg respectively. The result of consecutive four days shows that the growth and weight of seedling as well as germination was inhibited and the inhibition was increase with increase in concentration of extract. The leaves of A. excelsa shows presence of different flavonoids like kaempferol (5, 4, 5, 7-Tetrahydroxy flavone), luteolin (3, 4, 5, 7tetrahydroxy flavone), apigenin (4,5, 7trihydroxy flavone)¹¹. Flavonoids are the product of secondary metabolites which are produced by the plant against herbivory. The actual mechanism of allelopathy cause by A. excelsa was not known either these flavonoids or some other compound involve in this process still a matter of study. The hydroalcoholic extract of the leaves when analyzed using HPTLC revealed presence of 9 different polyvalent compounds including phytosterols¹⁸. Tilak et. al.²¹ reported eight polyvalent phytoconstituents (flavonoids, steriods) from the petroleum ether extract of leaves. Similar study was carried by Gangwar et al.5 which reported 12 polyvalent phytosterols. Cupressus lusitanica, Eucalyptus globulus, Eucalyptus camaldulensis and Eucalyptus saligna aqueous leaf extract was evaluated with four crops Cicer arietinum (chickpea), Zea mays (maize), Pisum sativum (pea) and Eragrostis *tef* (teff) and all the tree species significantly reduced both germination and radicle growth of the majority of the crops¹². Leucaena leucocephala (Lam) produced Mimosine which may blocked cell division of Petunia hybrida hort between G1 and S phases by disturbed the enzyme activity such as peroxidase, catalase, and IAA oxidase⁸. Ficus auriculata Lour. and Ficus semicordata Buch.-Ham. were studies for growth and dry matter production of Eleusine coracana L., Echinochloa frumentacea L., Amaranthus caudatus L. and Vigna umbellata Thunb. which shows reduction in dry weight and growth¹⁶.

A. excelsa aqueous leaf extract inhibits the seed germination in *Pennisetum glaucum* hybrid 1836 variety. The maximum inhibition was observed in pure aqueous extract reduced the seed germination 60%. The fresh weight and size of root and shoot reduced. The inhibition of seed germination and seedling growth was increases with increase in concentration. So we conclude that *A. excelsa* aqueous leaf extract have allelopathic effect on pearl millet.

Statements and Declarations

Competing Interests: The authors of this manuscript have no conflict of interest.

Funding: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

All authors of the manuscript agree to publish this manuscript in Indian Journal of Applied and pure Biology. No matter of the manuscript has been adopted from previously published papers, as such, so no need to get consent from other publishers.

Authors Contributions: Vijay Pal:

Conducted the experiments, collected data and written the major part of the manuscript, conceptualized the idea and proof read. Aarti: Contributed in writing the manuscript.

References :

- 1. Anonymous, (1956). The wealth of India raw materials. Council of industrial and scientific research. New Delhi.
- 2. Anonymous, (1985). The wealth of India raw materials. In: Publication and information Directorate, New Delhi, pp. 116-118.
- Candolle A.P. De. (1882). *Physiologie végétale, ou Exposition des forces et des fonctions vitales des végétaux*. (Vol. 3). Béchet. jeune.

- 4. Dagar J.C. (2012) Int J Ecol Environ. Sci., 35(1): 53-66.
- Gangwar S.S., A. Tilak, R. Sharma, and R.C. Sutar (2019). J Pharmacogn Phytochem 8(5): 1461-1464.
- Hunshal C.S., H.T. Channal, A.R. Alagawadi and R.H. Patil (2000). Allelopathy research in agroforestry systems of South India. In allelopathy in ecological agriculture and forestry, Springer science and business publication, Dordrecht, 218. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-94-011-4173-4_14</u>
- 7. IBPGR, (1981) Descriptors for pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.). IBPGR Secretariat.
- Kato-Noguchi H. and D. Kurniadie (2022). *Plants*, 11(13): 1672. <u>https://doi.org/</u> <u>10.3390/plants11131672</u>
- 9. Kirtikar K.R., and B.D. Basu (2003) Indian medicinal plant, (second Ed.), Mohan Basu Publisher, Allahabd, India.
- Kumar D., Bhat Z.A., Singh P, Shah M.Y., Bhujbal S.S. (2010). *Int. J. Pharmacol.* 6(5): 535-550. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3923/</u> <u>ijp.2010.535.550.</u>
- 11. Lavhale M.S., and S.H. Mishra (2007). *Pharmacogn. Rev.* 1(1): 105-113.
- Lisanework N., and A. Michelsen (1993). *Agrofor. Syst.*, 21(1): 63-74. <u>https://</u> <u>doi.org/10.1007/BF00704926</u>
- Nadkarni K.M. (1996). Indian materia medica (Vol. 1). Popular Prakashan Bombay, India.
- Parthasarathy P. Rao, and G. Basavaraj (2015) Status and prospects of millet utilization in India and global scenario, ICRISAT, India.
- Perry L.G., G.C. Thelen, W.M. Ridenour, T.L. Weir, R.M. Callaway, M.W. Paschke, and J.M. Vivanco (2005) *J. Ecol.*, 1126-

1135. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u> 2745.2005.01044.x

- 16. Satapathy S.R., V.P. Khanduri, B. Singh, M.K. Riyal, S. Kumar, P. Kumar, and D. Rawat (2022). J. Agri. Foods Res., 100352. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2022.100352</u>
- Singhal T., Tara Satyavathi C., S.P. Singh, M. Mallik, N. Anuradha, S.M. Sankar, C. Bharadwaj and N. Singh (2022). *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 1-21. <u>https://doi.org/</u> <u>10.1007/s12298-022-01144-0</u>
- 18. Sutar R.C. (2022). J. Pharma. Sci. Res. 14(4): 729-733.

- 19. Swaminathan C. (1996). *Allelopathy J.*, *3*(1): 77-80.
- Tilak A., Kumar M., R. Sharma R. and S.S. Gangwar (2019). *World J Pharma Res* 8(3): 352-358.
- 21. TilaK A., R. Sharma, S.S. Gangwar, and R.C. Sutar (2019). J. Pharmacogn Phytochem 8(4): 1174-1177.
- 22. Willis R.J. (2007) What is allelopathy?, The history of allelopathy, Springer science and business publiction, Berlin, Germany, 1-13.